## FILED

## NEW JERSEY STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

In THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF THE LICENSE OF:

KHAJA NASEERUDDIN, M.D. LICENSE NO. MA41882

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

TO ENGAGE IN THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE AND SURGERY IN THE STATE OF MEW JERSEY

This matter was reopened before the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners (the "Board") for consideration of the issue of the amount of costs to be assessed upon respondent Naseeruddin, which issue was specifically reserved at the time that we entered our initial order in this matter both to afford the Attorney General an opportunity to make a cost application and to allow respondent to submit written objections to any items sought to be recovered as costs by the Attorney General. Upon review of the multiple submissions made by the parties, we conclude that respondent is to be required to pay a total of \$10,200.00 in costs, consisting of the following cost assessments:

costs	Amount Assessed
Transcript and Court Reporter costs	\$ 735.00
n	
TOTAL COSTS	\$10,200.00
	I:



We set forth below a summation of the history of this matter (limited to submissions made in support of or opposition to the Attorney General's cost application) and the conclusions we have reached which support the within order.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Attorney General submitted a certification detailing all costs that were being sought on August 5, 2003. Within said certification, the Attorney General documented that totals of \$735.00 in transcript costs (75.00 court reporter appearance fee and \$660.30 in transcript fees) and \$6,162.38 in counsel fees (for services from January 17, 2003 through July 8, 2003) had been incurred in the litigation of the case against Dr. Naseeruddin. On September 8, 2003, just prior to the scheduled consideration of the application for costs on its September 10, 2003 agenda, and subsequent to the established deadline for submission of a response to the cost application, the Board received a letter from respondent's counsel referring to an August 18th letter of objection, and requesting its submission to the Board. Although the Board had never received respondent's submission, in order to permit respondent to object to the cost application, the Board declined to fix the 'amount of costs to be assessed at its September 10, 2003 meeting. The Board held any decision on the amount of costs in abeyance, accepted respondent's submission and permitted the Attorney General to reply in writing.

We have now received and reviewed the multiple submissions from the parties. Respondent's letter brief received on September 8, 2003

outlines his objections to the Attorney General's initial certification of costs. Within said brief, respondent argued that the time records submitted list the gross amount of attorney time without supporting details, and that based upon the case of Poritz v. Stang, 288 N.J. Super 217 (App. Div. 1996) as the overall reasonability of the counsel fees cannot be analyzed, and that as the requirement of the Court Rules (specifically R.4:42-9(b)) that an affidavit of services addressing certain factors to determine the reasonableness of the fees has not been met, the fee application should be denied.

The Attorney General submitted a reply brief dated September 25, 2003, and revised certifications of two Deputy Attorneys General setting forth supporting detail of the legal work performed, and a revised schedule of hourly rates of compensation for Deputy Attorneys General. The Attorney General argued that the Board could impose counsel fees pursuant to established statutory authority and eschewed the case cited by respondent as applicable only to investigative costs. Nonetheless, the stated argued that the revised certifications submitted met the reasonableness standard of Stang, and of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

The Attorney General's supplemental certifications of costs, were supported by time sheets of DAG Warhaftig and an appendix consisting of caselaw and a schedule established in 1999 by the Department of Law and Public Safety as a uniform rate of compensation for the purpose of the recovery of attorneys fees (See, State v.

Maldron (Dkt. No. L-702-99 ([Law Div. December 4, 2001]). That schedule sets the hourly rate of a Deputy Attorney General with up to five years of legal experience at \$100.00 per hour, and with more than 10 years of experience at \$150.00 per hour. In his second supplemental certification, the Attorney General amended the amount sought in his fee application, by making adjustments for understatement of the hourly fees the net effect of which was an upward adjustment in the total amount of counsel fees sought from \$6,162.38 to \$8,465.00.1

## CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

We note initially that, at this time, there is no dispute between the parties on the items sought for transcript costs in the amount of \$735.00. We turn, thus, to the only issue-whether or not sufficient supporting details have been supplied for the attorneys' fees sought, and whether the fees sought are reasonable. The initial Provisional Order of Discipline was filed on March 5, 2001.

Review of documents (CDR) - 4.5 hours
Research and writing (CRW) 7.0 hours
Motions/Briefs (CMB) 3.0 hours
Trial preparation (CPR) 5.0 hours
Appearance at trial (CAP) 5.0 hours
Misc activities .4 hours
Total of 24.9 hours

The application for DAG Simmons seeks \$100 per hour for the following activities:

Research and writing
Telephone calls
Document review
Administrative work
Correspondence
Total of 57.3 hours

¹The Attorney General's application €or the time of Deputy Attorney General Warhaftig thus seeks \$150 per hour for the following activities:

counsel fees incurred by the State in the amount of \$10,200.00. Such amount shall be paid within 30 days of the date of this Order, by certified check or money order payable to the Treasurer, State of New Jersey and delivered to Mr. William Roeder at the Office of the Board of Medical Examiners,

NEW JERSEY STATE
BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By;

David Wallace, M.D.

President